

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/024,569	KIM, HYUNG-JO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2617	

All Participants:

(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(2) Sam Ntiros, Attorney, Reg. No. 39,318.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

This case will be allowable, if Applicant can cancel claims 18-26. See Examiner Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Status of Application:

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)